



Economic and Benefits Analysis for the Proposed Section 316(b) Phase II Existing Facilities Rule

Economic and Benefits Analysis for the Proposed Section 316(b) Phase II Existing Facilities Rule

**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Science and Technology
Engineering and Analysis Division**

**Washington, DC 20460
February 28, 2002**

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared by the Office of Water staff. The following contractors provided assistance and support in performing the underlying analysis supporting the conclusions detailed in this document.

Abt Associates Inc.
Science Applications International Corporation
Stratus Consulting Inc.
Tetra Tech

The Office of Water has reviewed and approved this document for publication. The Office of Science and Technology directed, managed, and reviewed the work of the contractors in preparing this document. Neither the United States Government nor any of its employees, contractors, subcontractors, or their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use of or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed in this document, or represents that its use by such party would not infringe on privately owned rights.

Table of Contents

PART A: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Chapter A1: Introduction and Overview

A1-1	Scope of the Proposed Rule	A1-1
A1-2	Definitions of Key Concepts	A1-2
A1-3	Summary of the Proposed Rule	A1-3
	A1-3.1 Proposed Performance Standards	A1-3
A1-4	Summary of Alternative Regulatory Options	A1-6
A1-5	Compliance Responses of the Proposed Rule and Alternative Options	A1-8
A1-6	Organization of the EBA Report	A1-10
	References	A1-12

Chapter A2: The Need for Section 316(b) Regulation

A2-1	Overview of Regulated Facilities	A2-1
	A2-1.1 Phase II Sector Information	A2-1
	A2-1.2 Phase II Facility Information	A2-2
A2-2	The Need for Section 316(b) Regulation	A2-4
	A2-2.1 Low Levels of Protection at Phase II Facilities	A2-5
	A2-2.2 Reducing Adverse Environmental Impacts	A2-7
	A2-2.3 Addressing Market Imperfections	A2-8
	A2-2.4 Reducing Differences Between the States	A2-10
	A2-2.5 Reducing Transaction Costs	A2-12
	References	A2-14

Chapter A3: Profile of the Electric Power Industry

A3-1	Industry Overview	A3-1
	A3-1.1 Industry Sectors	A3-2
	A3-1.2 Prime Movers	A3-2
	A3-1.3 Ownership	A3-3
A3-2	Domestic Production	A3-5
	A3-2.1 Generating Capacity	A3-6
	A3-2.2 Electricity Generation	A3-7
	A3-2.3 Geographic Distribution	A3-8
A3-3	Existing Plants with CWIS and NPDES Permits	A3-11
	A3-3.1 Existing Section 316(b) Utility Plants	A3-13
	A3-3.2 Existing Section 316(b) Nonutility Plants	A3-18
A3-4	Industry Outlook	A3-24
	A3-4.1 Current Status of Industry Deregulation	A3-24
	A3-4.2 Energy Market Model Forecasts	A3-25
	Glossary	A3-27
	References	A3-29

PART B: COSTS AND ECONOMIC IMPACTS

Chapter B1: Summary of Compliance Costs

B1-1	Unit Costs	B1-1
B1-1.1	Technology Costs	B1-2
B1-1.2	Energy Costs	B1-6
B1-1.3	Administrative Costs	B1-9
B1-2	Assigning Compliance Years to Facilities	B1-13
B1-3	Total Private Compliance Costs	B1-14
B1-3.1	Methodology	B1-14
	B1-3.2 Total Private Costs of the Proposed Rule	B1-16
B1-4	Limitations and Uncertainties	B1-17
References		B1-18
Appendix to Chapter B1		B1-20
B1-A.1	Assignment of Compliance Years for Cooling Tower Options	B1-20
B1-A.1.1	Methodology	B1-20
B1-A.1.2	Summary of Cooling Tower Facilities by Compliance Year	B1-21

Chapter B2: Cost Impact Analysis

B2-1	Cost-to-Revenue Measure	B2-1
B2-1.1	Facility Analysis	B2-2
B2-1.2	Firm Analysis	B2-3
B2-2	Cost Per Household	B2-4
B2-3	Electricity Price Analysis	B2-6
References		B2-8

Chapter B3: Electricity Market Model Analysis

B3-1	Summary Comparison of Energy Market Models	B3-1
B3-2	Integrated Planning Model Overview	B3-3
B3-2.1	Modeling Methodology	B3-3
B3-2.2	Specifications for the Section 316(b) Analysis	B3-6
B3-2.3	Model Inputs	B3-7
B3-2.4	Model Outputs	B3-8
B3-3	Economic Impact Analysis Methodology	B3-9
B3-3.1	Market-level Impact Measures	B3-9
B3-3.1	Facility-level Impact Measures	B3-10
B3-4	Analysis Results for the Proposed Rule	B3-11
B3-4.1	Market Analysis	B3-13
B3-4.2	Analysis of Phase II Facilities	B3-15
B3-5	Summary of Findings	B3-17
B3-6	Uncertainties and Limitations	B3-17
References		B3-19
Appendix to Chapter B3		B3-20
B3-A.1	Summary Comparison of Energy Market Models	B3-20
B3-A.2	Differences Between EPA Base Case 2000 and Previous Model Specifications	B3-25

Chapter B4: Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

B4-1	Number of In-Scope Facilities Owned by Small Entities	B4-2
B4-1.1	Identification of Domestic Parent Entities	B4-2
B4-1.2	Size Determination of Domestic Parent Entities	B4-3
B4-2	Percent of Small Entities Regulated	B4-5
B4-3	Sales Test for Small Entities	B4-6
B4-4	Summary	B4-7
	References	B4-8

Chapter B5: UMRA Analysis

B5-1	Analysis of Impacts on Government Entities	B5-1
B5-1.1	Compliance Costs for Government-Owned Facilities	B5-2
B5-1.2	Administrative Costs	B5-2
B5-1.3	Impacts on Small Governments	B5-6
B5-2	Compliance Costs for the Private Sector	B5-7
B5-3	Summary of UMRA Analysis	B5-8
	References	B5-9

Chapter B6: Other Administrative Requirements

B6-1	E.O. 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review	B6-1
B6-2	E.O. 12898: Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations	B6-1
B6-3	E.O. 13045: Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks	B6-3
B6-4	E.O. 13132: Federalism	B6-4
B6-5	E.O. 13158: Marine Protected Areas	B6-5
B6-6	E.O. 13175: Consultation with Tribal Governments	B6-6
B6-7	E.O. 13211: Energy Effects	B6-6
B6-8	Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995	B6-7
B6-9	National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act	B6-7
	References	B6-8

Chapter B7: Alternative Options - Costs and Economic Impacts

B7-1	Waterbody/Capacity-based Option	B7-2
B7-1.1	Compliance Costs	B7-2
B7-1.2	Cost-to-Revenue Measure	B7-4
B7-1.3	SBREFA Analysis	B7-6
B7-2	Impingement Mortality and Entrainment Controls Everywhere Option	B7-6
B7-2.1	Compliance Costs	B7-6
B7-2.2	Cost-to-Revenue Measure	B7-8
B7-2.3	SBREFA Analysis	B7-9
B7-3	All Cooling Towers Option	B7-9
B7-3.1	Compliance Costs	B7-9
B7-3.2	Cost-to-Revenue Measure	B7-11
B7-3.3	SBREFA Analysis	B7-12
B7-4	Dry Cooling Option	B7-12
B7-4.1	Compliance Costs	B7-12
B7-4.2	Cost-to-Revenue Measure	B7-14
B7-4.3	SBREFA Analysis	B7-15

Chapter B8: Alternative Options - Electricity Market Model Analysis

B8-1	Overview of IPM Analysis of Alternative Options	B8-1
B8-2	Market Analysis Level	B8-2
B8-3	Analysis of Phase II Facilities	B8-12
B8-3.1	Group of Phase II Facilities	B8-12
B8-3.2	Individual Phase II Facilities	B8-20
B8-4	Uncertainties and Limitations	B8-22
References		B8-24
Appendix to Chapter B8		B8-26
B8-A1	Market Analysis	B8-26
B8-A2	Phase II Facility Analysis	B8-31
B8-A2.1	Group of Phase II Facilities	B8-31
B8-A2.2	Individual Phase II Facilities	B8-35

PART C: NATIONAL BENEFITS

Chapter C1: Introduction to the Case Studies

C1-1	Why Case Studies were Undertaken	C1-1
C1-2	What Sites were Chosen and Why	C1-1
C1-3	Steps Taken in the Case Studies	C1-3
C1-4	Summary of Case Study Analyses	C1-3
C1-5	Data Uncertainties Leading to Underestimates of Case Study Impacts and Benefits	C1-6
C1-5.1	Data Limitations	C1-6
C1-5.2	Estimated Technology Effectiveness	C1-6
C1-5.3	Potential Cumulative Impacts	C1-6
C1-5.4	Recreational Benefits	C1-7
C1-5.5	Secondary (indirect) Economic Impacts	C1-7
C1-5.6	Commercial Benefits	C1-7
C1-5.7	Forage Species	C1-7
C1-5.8	Nonuse Benefits	C1-8
C1-5.9	Incidental Benefits	C1-8
Appendix to Chapter C1		C1-10
C1-A.1	Options with Benefit Estimates	C1-10
C1-A.2	Impingement Reductions and Benefits	C1-11
C1-A.3	Entrainment Reductions and Benefits	C1-12
C1-A.4	Benefits Associated with Various Percentage Reductions	C1-13
C1-A.5	Benefits Associated with the Proposed Option	C1-13

Chapter C2: Summary of Case Study Results

C2-1	The Delaware Estuary Watershed (Mid-Atlantic Estuaries)	C2-1
C2-2	Tampa Bay Watershed Study (Gulf Estuaries)	C2-3
C2-3	The Ohio River Watershed Study (Large Rivers)	C2-4
C2-4	San Francisco Bay/Delta (Western Estuaries)	C2-6
C2-5	Mount Hope Bay (New England Estuaries)	C2-7
C2-6	Oceans (New England Coast)	C2-8
C2-7	The Great Lakes	C2-9
C2-8	Large River Tributary to the Great Lakes	C2-10

C2-9	National Baseline Losses Due to I&E at In-Scope Facilities	C2-11
------	--	-------

Chapter C3: National Extrapolation of Baseline Economic Losses

C3-1	Extrapolation Methodology	C3-1
C3-1.1	Consideration of Volume of Water (Flow)	C3-2
C3-1.2	Consideration of Level of Recreational Angling	C3-2
C3-1.3	Consideration of Waterbody Type	C3-3
C3-1.4	Angling and Flow Indices	C3-4
C3-1.5	Waterbody Considerations	C3-4
C3-1.6	Advantages and Disadvantages of EPA's Extrapolation Approach	C3-5
C3-2	Results of National Benefits Extrapolation	C3-5
C3-2.1	Case Study Baseline Losses	C3-6
C3-2.2	Extrapolation of Baseline Losses to All Facilities Using Flow Index	C3-7
C3-2.3	Extrapolation of Baseline Losses to All Facilities Using Angling Index	C3-8
C3-2.4	Average of Flow-Based and Angling-Based Losses	C3-9
C3-2.5	Best Estimates	C3-10
	References	C3-12

Chapter C4: Benefits

C4-1	Options with Benefit Estimates	C4-1
C4-2	Impingement Reductions and Benefits	C4-2
C4-3	Entrainment Reductions and Benefits	C4-3
C4-4	Certainty Levels Associated with the Benefits Estimates of Various Options	C4-4
C4-5	Benefits Associated with Various Impingement and Entrainment Percentage Reductions	C4-5
C4-6	Impingement and Entrainment Benefits Associated with The Proposed Option	C4-5

PART D: NATIONAL BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS

Chapter D1: Comparison of National Costs and Benefits

D1-1	Social Costs	D1-2
D1-2	Summary of National Benefits and Social Costs	D1-4
	Glossary	D1-5

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK